

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/913,904	RAMSHAW ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	N. Bhat	1764	

All Participants:

 (1) N. Bhat.

 (2) B. North.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 April 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Possible 112, 2nd paragraph
Claims discussed:
1 and all claims with the recitation of "periphery"
Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the recitation having a surface "with a periphery" is unclear, does applicant mean peripheral wall? Applicant was asked fully describe the element instead of using the term "periphery". Applicant discussed with his counterpart counsel and decided to delete the recitation in some parts of the claims and insert "surface" in other parts of the claims, all changes authorized by examiner's amendment..